

FUNDING FOR THIS PROGRAM IS  
PROVIDED BY ANNENBERG MEDIA.

Narrator: AGRICULTURE PROVIDES  
US  
WITH THE FOOD WE NEED TO  
SURVIVE.  
TO KEEP PACE WITH AN  
EVER-GROWING POPULATION  
SCIENTISTS CREATED NEW SEED  
VARIETIES  
THAT PROVIDED OUTSTANDING  
YIELDS  
BUT ALSO REQUIRED INCREASED  
INPUTS --  
MORE FERTILIZER AND MORE  
PESTICIDES --  
BUT AT WHAT COST TO THE  
ENVIRONMENT?  
PETER KENMORE  
OF THE UNITED NATIONS FOOD  
AND AGRICULTURE  
ORGANIZATION  
HAS BEEN WORKING WITH  
FARMERS FOR 30 YEARS  
TO DECREASE THE USE OF  
PESTICIDES IN RICE PRODUCTION.  
Dr. Kenmore: WE HAVE BEEN ABLE  
BASICALLY  
TO SUBSTITUTE BRAINS FOR  
CHEMICALS.

AND IN THAT SENSE  
THE GROWTH RATE STAYS UP BUT  
LESS CHEMICALS ARE USED.

Narrator: IN THE YAQUI VALLEY OF  
MEXICO

RESEARCHERS ARE FINDING  
THAT EXCESS FERTILIZER  
RUNOFF FROM WHEAT CROPS  
IS AFFECTING MARINE LIFE IN THE  
GULF OF CALIFORNIA.

SO THEY ARE TURNING TO  
TECHNOLOGY  
TO DECREASE FERTILIZER INPUTS  
WITHOUT DECREASING YIELDS.

Dr. Ortiz-Monasterio: TECHNOLOGY  
LIKE THIS

CAN RESULT IN A WIN-WIN  
SITUATION

WHERE THE FARMER BENEFITS  
AND AT THE SAME TIME THE  
ENVIRONMENT BENEFITS.

Narrator: BY SHOWING FARMERS  
HOW TO REDUCE PESTICIDE AND  
FERTILIZER USE

BOTH RESEARCH TEAMS HOPE TO  
MINIMIZE ENVIRONMENTAL  
IMPACTS

WHILE STILL PRODUCING ENOUGH  
FOOD FOR OUR GROWING  
POPULATION.

A STAPLE THROUGHOUT THE

GLOBE, RICE IS AN ESSENTIAL  
CROP  
PROVIDING 60% OF THE CALORIES  
FOR HALF OF THE WORLD'S  
POPULATION.

DR. PETER KENMORE  
OF THE FOOD AND AGRICULTURE  
ORGANIZATION  
OF THE UNITED NATIONS IN ROME,  
ITALY

HAS BEEN CONDUCTING  
RESEARCH ABOUT RICE  
PRODUCTION  
IN COUNTRIES IN SOUTHEAST  
ASIA FOR 30 YEARS.

Dr. Kenmore: RICE, NOW AND  
PROBABLY FOR THE LAST 4,000  
OR 5,000 YEARS

FEEDS MORE INDIVIDUALS OF THE  
SPECIES HOMO SAPIENS  
THAN ANY OTHER FOOD.

EVOLUTIONARILY, WHY IS IT A  
GOOD BET?

BECAUSE IT GROWS IN PLACES  
THAT ARE FLOODED.

YOU CAN GROW IT IN PLACES  
WHERE OTHER CROPS WON'T  
GROW.

FLOODING TENDS TO KILL MOST  
OF THE WEEDS

THAT WOULD GROW UP AND  
COMPETE WITH THE RICE

SO YOU HAVE GOT AN ADDED  
ADVANTAGE.

SO YOU CAN PUT IT  
INTO WHAT WOULD OTHERWISE  
BE A MARGINAL ENVIRONMENT.  
RICE HAS BEEN A GOOD BET, AND  
YOU'VE GOT LOADS OF PLACES  
WHERE FOLKS HAVE BEEN ABLE  
TO COUNT ON A HARVEST.

Narrator: RICE SUCCESSFULLY FED  
HUMANS FOR THOUSANDS OF  
YEARS.

BUT IN THE MIDDLE OF THE 20th  
CENTURY  
POPULATION GROWTH EXPLODED,  
OUTPACING FOOD PRODUCTION.  
TO HEAD OFF POTENTIAL FOOD  
SHORTAGES  
GOVERNMENTS ENCOURAGED  
AND EVEN MANDATED FARMERS  
TO CHANGE THEIR PRACTICE  
REQUIRING FERTILIZERS TO  
STIMULATE GROWTH  
ALONG WITH PESTICIDES TO  
CONTROL THE INSECTS  
THAT EAT RICE PLANTS.  
BUT THE RESULTS WERE  
SURPRISING.

Dr. Kenmore: PESTICIDES  
SOMETIMES CREATED  
A CONTRADICTIONARY RESULT  
WHICH WAS YOU SPRAYED

INSECTICIDES IN THE RICE FIELD  
AND YOU GOT 500 TO 1,000 TIMES  
MORE INSECTS  
THAT WERE EATING RICE  
THAN YOU HAD WITHOUT THE  
INSECTICIDE.

SO THAT'S A MYSTERY.

AND FROM THAT TIME ON  
ALL THROUGH SOUTH AND  
SOUTHEAST ASIA

EVERY YEAR DIFFERENT  
COUNTRIES WOULD REPORT  
PROBLEMS LIKE THIS.

THE FIRST RESPONSE WAS SPRAY  
MORE.

AND THAT CREATED OFTEN MORE  
OF A PROBLEM.

Narrator: WHY WOULD USING  
PESTICIDES CREATE MORE  
PESTS?

Dr. Kenmore: THE BEGINNING OF  
THE SOLUTION OF THE MYSTERY  
IS TO SEE THERE ARE NOT JUST  
TWO ECOLOGICAL TROPHIC  
LEVELS.

IT ISN'T JUST THE RICE AND THE  
THINGS THAT EAT RICE.

THERE'S THE RICE, THE THINGS  
THAT EAT RICE

AND THE THINGS THAT EAT THE  
THINGS THAT EAT RICE.

SO YOU HAVE THREE LEVELS.  
AND WHEN YOU SPRAY, YOU KILL  
BOTH TOP LEVELS  
EXCEPT FOR THE EGGS OF THE  
PESTS THAT ARE INSIDE THE  
RICE.  
WHEN THEY HATCH ,THERE'S  
NOTHING TO EAT THEM  
AND THEY GO ON HAPPILY  
REPRODUCING  
AND YOU GET A POPULATION  
EXPLOSION.  
IT MEANS THAT THE PEST  
POPULATION  
IS FREED FROM ITS NATURAL  
CONTROLS  
AND THAT'S WHAT HAPPENED IN  
RICE.

Narrator: THESE FINDINGS LED  
KENMORE TO  
PROMOTE NATURAL  
ALTERNATIVES TO PESTICIDES  
FOR VARIOUS CROPS  
AN APPROACH KNOWN  
AS INTEGRATED PEST  
MANAGEMENT, OR IPM.  
IPM RELIES ON DETAILED  
KNOWLEDGE OF PESTS  
AND HOW THEY INTERACT WITHIN  
THE ECOSYSTEM  
TO HELP DETERMINE THE BEST

WAY TO CONTROL THESE  
INSECTS  
WITH THE LEAST DAMAGE TO THE  
ENVIRONMENT.

Dr. Kenmore: INTEGRATED PEST  
MANAGEMENT

ACCEPTS THERE WILL ALWAYS BE  
SOME PESTS IN EVERY FIELD.

IF THERE'S A LOW NUMBER OF  
PESTS

THEY PROVIDE FOOD FOR THE  
NATURAL ENEMIES.

IF THERE'S TOO MANY PESTS,  
ONE SHOULD INTERVENE.

SOMETIMES ONE CAN INTERVENE  
WITH A BIOLOGICAL

INTRODUCTION

WHICH CAN BE, FOR EXAMPLE, A  
PATHOGEN

LIKE A VIRUS OR BACTERIA THAT  
EATS INSECTS.

SOMETIMES, IN RARE CASES, ONE  
CAN INTERVENE

BY INTRODUCING AN INSECT  
FROM A DIFFERENT PLACE

WHO WILL THEN NATURALLY GO  
IN, EAT PESTS, REPRODUCE

AND STAY IN THE FIELD EATING  
THE PESTS FROM THEN ON.

AND IN THE LAST STEP --

PESTICIDES

ONLY WHEN THE NATURALLY

OCCURRING PEST CONTROL  
ISN'T KEEPING THE PESTS TO THE  
DESIRED LEVELS.

INTEGRATED PEST MANAGEMENT  
USES ALL OF THESE  
APPROACHES.

IT TRIES TO AVOID DISRUPTING  
THE NATURAL ECOSYSTEM OF  
THAT CROP FIELD.

Narrator: THE NEXT CHALLENGE  
FOR KENMORE AND HIS TEAM  
WAS TO PERSUADE RICE  
FARMERS

WHO HAD BEEN USING  
PESTICIDES FOR YEARS  
TO ADOPT THIS APPROACH.

Settle: NOW, YOU MIGHT SAY, AS  
PEOPLE DO

IF PESTICIDES ARE SO BAD FOR  
THE SYSTEM

WHY ARE THE FARMERS  
CONTINUE USING IT?

IT'S NOT OBVIOUS TO THE  
FARMER WHAT'S GOING ON IN  
THIS SYSTEM.

THEY DON'T HAVE A MEANS OF  
COMPARING

WHAT WOULD OR WOULD NOT  
TAKE PLACE

WITH OR WITHOUT PESTICIDES.



Narrator: TO HELP INTRODUCE  
THESE ALTERNATE APPROACHES  
TO THE FARMERS  
THE RESEARCHERS STARTED  
FARMER FIELD SCHOOLS.  
BILL SETTLE SUPERVISES THESE  
SCHOOLS  
IN SOUTHEAST ASIA AND WEST  
AFRICA.

Settle: I WORKED IN 20 COUNTRIES  
WITH THIS PROGRAM.

AND MOST FARMERS THINK  
THAT ANYTHING THAT IS  
CRAWLING IN A RICE FIELD  
IS POTENTIALLY DAMAGING THE  
RICE.

THEY THINK THAT ANY LITTLE  
DAMAGE TO THE RICE PLANTS  
IS GOING TO RESULT IN YIELD  
LOSS.

SO THERE'S A LOT OF INHERENT  
FEAR

WITH REGARDS TO THE NATURE  
IN FRONT OF THEM.

SO TO TELL THEM NOT TO BE  
USING PESTICIDES

AFTER "WE" HAVE BEEN TELLING  
THEM TO USE PESTICIDES  
FOR THE LAST 40 YEARS, SINCE  
THE 1960s AND '70s  
IS DIFFICULT.

WHAT WE DO IN OUR TRAINING  
PROGRAMS  
IS HELP THE FARMERS  
DEMONSTRATE FOR THEMSELVES  
WHAT'S GOING ON  
BY DOING SIMPLE EXPERIMENTS.  
Narrator: EXPERIMENTS ARE  
DESIGNED  
FOR FARMERS TO BE ABLE TO  
OBSERVE AND UNDERSTAND  
HOW PESTS ARE CONTROLLED IN  
A SYSTEM WITHOUT PESTICIDES.  
Settle: WE START WITH TWO  
PLOTS.  
ONE IS THE CONVENTIONAL PLOT  
WHERE THEY DO WHAT THEY  
NORMALLY DO  
THEY APPLY THE INSECTICIDES  
LIKE THEY NORMALLY WOULD.  
THE OTHER PLOT IS SOMETHING  
THAT IS THE EXPERIMENTAL PLOT.  
CALL IT THE IPM PLOT.  
AND EVEN THERE WE DON'T TELL  
THEM WHAT TO DO  
BUT WE SORT OF SUGGEST  
"WELL, LET'S TRY NOT USING  
PESTICIDES  
AND SEE WHAT HAPPENS."  
AND SINCE NO ONE PERSON IS  
INVESTED IN THE OUTCOME --  
IT'S A GROUP PLOT OF LAND THAT  
WE ARE SUPPORTING --

THERE'S NO RISK ASSOCIATED WITH IT.

Dr. Kenmore: AND THEY START BY LOOKING AT THE CROP LOOKING AT THE SIZE AND QUALITY OF THE PLANTS AND THEN BY LOOKING AT THE DIFFERENT KINDS OF INSECTS AND FUNGI THAT ARE OBSERVED BRINGING SAMPLES BACK, AND THEN EACH WORKING GROUP GIVING A MINI SEMINAR TO THE WHOLE FIELD SCHOOL ON WHAT THEY OBSERVED IN THE FIELD.

Narrator: ALTHOUGH SIMILAR TO KENMORE'S FINDINGS THE FARMERS' RESULTS OFTEN SURPRISE THEM. IN UNTREATED FIELDS, HERBIVORES THAT ATE RICE PLANTS WERE KEPT UNDER CONTROL BY NATURAL PREDATORS. BUT IN FIELDS WHERE PESTICIDES WERE USED PLANT-EATING HERBIVORES GREW AT TREMENDOUS RATES SINCE THE POPULATIONS OF THEIR NATURAL PREDATORS

WERE GREATLY REDUCED BY THE PESTICIDES.

Dr. Kenmore: WHY FARMERS DOING EXPERIMENTS IS SO IMPORTANT IS THEY GET TO TEST WHAT THEY HAVE BEEN TOLD SO THAT IT PUTS THEM IN THE POSITION OF BEING THE CRITICAL PEER REVIEWER OF THE ASSERTIONS COMING FROM THE TRAINER.

Narrator: FARMERS ARE ENCOURAGED TO MOVE BEYOND THEIR INITIAL SCIENTIFIC OBSERVATIONS BY CREATING EVEN MORE ROBUST EXPERIMENTS. ECOSYSTEM STUDIES CALLED INSECT ZOOS ARE DESIGNED TO DETERMINE HOW VARIOUS BUGS WILL AFFECT THE RICE FIELDS.

Dr. Kenmore: THEN YOU SAY, "WELL, I'VE GOT A BUG HERE "WHICH NOBODY KNOWS THE NAME OF, SO WE GOT TO TEST IT. IS IT GOING TO EAT RICE, OR IS IT GOING TO EAT BUGS?" SO YOU PUT IT INTO A CAGE WITH RICE AND BUGS AND YOU SEE WHAT IT EATS.

[ SPEAKING NATIVE LANGUAGE ]

Settle: SHE'S SAYING THAT THIS IS  
A DRAGONFLY

AND THAT IT'S USEFUL FOR  
EATING BROWN PLANT HOPPERS  
AS WELL AS OTHER PESTS.

Narrator: ANOTHER SET OF  
EXPERIMENTS

HELPS FARMERS UNDERSTAND  
HOW MUCH INSECT DAMAGE THE  
PLANTS CAN SUSTAIN

AND STILL PRODUCE  
ECONOMICALLY VIABLE YIELDS.

Dr. Kenmore: IF YOU TAKE 50% OF  
THE LEAVES OFF A RICE PLANT  
WHEN IT'S A MONTH OLD

WILL THE YIELD OF THAT CLUMP  
BE ANY DIFFERENT

FROM THE YIELD AT THE END OF  
THE SEASON

OF A CLUMP WHERE YOU DIDN'T  
CUT THE LEAVES OFF?

AND IF THERE ISN'T ANY  
DIFFERENCE

WHICH USUALLY THERE ISN'T  
YOU CAN BEGIN TO OWN THE

CONCEPT THAT RICE CAN ABSORB  
DAMAGE

AND YOU DON'T NEED TO SPRAY  
EVERY TIME YOU SEE LEAF

DAMAGE.

Narrator: FARMER FIELD SCHOOLS  
HAVE LED  
TO MAJOR CHANGES IN  
AGRICULTURAL PRACTICE.  
DURING THE 1990s  
MILLIONS OF INDONESIAN  
FARMERS DECREASED PESTICIDE  
USE  
BY TENS OF MILLIONS OF  
DOLLARS.

AT THE SAME TIME, TOTAL RICE  
PRODUCTION DID NOT DECREASE  
BUT CONTINUED TO INCREASE.

Dr. Kenmore: WITH FARMER FIELD  
SCHOOLS

THEY WERE EMPOWERED  
ENOUGH TO GROW THE RICE  
WHILE USING LESS PESTICIDES.

THE SCHOOL HAS BEEN ABLE  
BASICALLY

TO SUBSTITUTE BRAINS FOR  
CHEMICALS.

AND IN THAT SENSE

THE YIELDS STAY UP, THE  
GROWTH RATE STAYS UP  
BUT LESS CHEMICALS ARE USED.

Narrator: INTEGRATED PEST  
MANAGEMENT

HAS BEEN ADOPTED

THROUGHOUT THE WORLD  
INCLUDING THE UNITED STATES.  
IN ARKANSAS, A GROUP OF

FARMERS  
IS LOOKING FOR ALTERNATIVES  
TO PESTICIDES  
FOR AN EMERGING PEST THAT  
THREATENS RICE PRODUCTION  
THE SUGARCANE BORER.  
Dr. Bernhardt: WHAT WE DO NOT  
KNOW  
IS HOW MUCH THE SUGARCANE  
BORER IS SIMILAR  
TO THE RICE STALK BORER IN ITS  
HABITS  
HOW ITS POPULATIONS ARE  
AFFECTED BY THE CROPPING  
PATTERNS.  
IT HAS QUITE A NUMBER OF WILD  
HOSTS  
A LOT MORE THAN OUR RICE  
STALK BORER.  
AND ALL OF THAT IS GOING TO  
PLAY A BIG ROLE  
IN WHETHER THIS BECOMES A  
MAJOR PEST FOR ARKANSAS  
OR WHETHER IT'S GOING TO BE A  
MINOR PEST  
LIKE OUR RICE STALK BORER.  
Narrator: LAST SEASON, RICE  
FARMER CLAY POOLE  
WAS THE FIRST EVER TO  
EXPERIENCE  
THE NEGATIVE EFFECTS OF THE  
SUGARCANE BORER.

Poole: WE HAD SOME PROBLEM AREAS IN A PARTICULAR FIELD AND DIDN'T REALLY KNOW WHAT WE WERE LOOKING AT. WE DISCOVERED THAT WE HAD A PEST THAT IS CALLED THE SUGARCANE BORER. IT DID SIGNIFICANT YIELD DAMAGE. WE HAD SPOTS IN THE FIELDS WHERE WE LOST RIGHT AT PROBABLY 100 BUSHELS TO THE ACRE. THAT \$3 A BUSHEL, THAT ADDS UP. WHEN IT ALL BOILS DOWN, THAT'S MY PROFIT.

Narrator: IN BETWEEN GROWING SEASONS THE FARMERS HOPE TO CONTROL THE PESTS BY GETTING RID OF THE STUBBLE OR REMAINING STALK FROM THE PREVIOUS YEAR'S HARVEST.

Poole: STANDING STUBBLE WAS WHERE THEY LIKED TO OVERWINTER. NOW, THAT'S ENOUGH STEM AND STALK LEFT THERE FOR A BORER TO ACTUALLY BORE IN.



RESEARCH HAS SHOWN  
THAT THE DESTRUCTION OF THE  
STUBBLE AFTER HARVEST  
IS ONE OF THE BEST WAYS TO  
CONTROL

THE THRESHOLD AND THE  
BUILDUP OF THE SUGARCANE  
BORER.

POSSIBLY WE'LL KNOCK THEM  
DOWN ENOUGH

WHERE WE WON'T HAVE A  
BUILDUP

TO WHERE THEY BECOME  
DEVASTATING TO THE RICE  
INDUSTRY.

WE ARE TRYING TO GET IT TO  
WHERE INSECTICIDE WOULD BE  
THE LAST RESORT THAT WE HAVE  
TO USE TO CONTROL THIS PEST.

Narrator: THE FARMERS WILL NEED  
TO WAIT UNTIL THE NEXT  
HARVEST

TO DETERMINE IF THEIR  
SOLUTION WAS SUCCESSFUL.

Poole: WE'RE LOOKING OUT FOR  
THE LAND.

THE LAND MAKES US MONEY.  
AND IF YOU DAMAGE THE LAND  
OR IF YOU ABUSE THE LAND  
YOUR LAND IS NOT GOING TO  
MAKE YOU MONEY.

Narrator: IN THE YAQUI VALLEY OF  
MEXICO  
WHEAT FARMERS ARE ALSO  
CAUGHT IN THE STRUGGLE  
BETWEEN MAKING A LIVING AND  
PROTECTING THE ENVIRONMENT.

THE YAQUI VALLEY IS AN  
IRRIGATED PLAIN  
ON THE NORTHWEST COAST OF  
MAINLAND MEXICO  
THAT GROWS ENOUGH WHEAT  
EACH YEAR  
TO FEED AS MANY AS 20 MILLION  
PEOPLE.

THANKS TO ADVANCED SEED  
VARIETIES  
SUPPORTED BY IRRIGATION AND  
GENEROUS FERTILIZER  
APPLICATION  
THE YAQUI VALLEY HAS EARNED  
THE TITLE  
"THE BREADBASKET OF MEXICO."  
YET EVEN WITH THIS BOUNTIFUL  
HARVEST  
FARMERS' INCOMES ARE BARELY  
KEEPING PACE  
WITH THEIR EXPENSES.  
AND THE EXCESS NITROGEN  
FROM THEIR FERTILIZER  
WASHES OUT OF THEIR FIELDS

AND THREATENS MARINE  
ECOSYSTEMS  
THE UNDERGROUND WATER  
SUPPLY, AND EVEN THE  
ATMOSPHERE.

CAN FARMERS MAINTAIN THEIR  
STANDARD OF LIVING  
AND STILL PROTECT THE  
ENVIRONMENT?

THIS QUESTION IS BEING  
EXPLORED BY A FRUITFUL  
COLLABORATION  
BETWEEN RESEARCHERS AT  
STANFORD UNIVERSITY  
AND AGRONOMISTS IN MEXICO.  
PAMELA MATSON, A PROFESSOR  
AND BIOGEOCHEMIST  
FROM STANFORD UNIVERSITY  
IS ONE OF THE DIRECTORS OF  
THIS STUDY.

Matson: I STUDY THE CHEMICAL  
INTERACTIONS  
BETWEEN PLANTS AND  
MICROORGANISMS  
AND SOIL AND WATER AND  
ATMOSPHERE SYSTEMS  
AND I FOCUS IN PARTICULAR ON  
NITROGEN.

A LOT OF MY RESEARCH IS  
FOCUSED ON WHAT HAPPENS  
WITH NITROGEN FERTILIZERS IN  
AGRICULTURAL SYSTEMS

AND HOW THEY AFFECT THE ENVIRONMENT.

Narrator: AN ESSENTIAL ELEMENT FOR ALL LIVING THINGS NITROGEN IS THE MAIN NUTRIENT IN MANY FERTILIZERS.

Matson: ALL ORGANISMS NEED A LOT OF NITROGEN.

PLANTS AND PEOPLE AND ALL DIFFERENT KINDS OF ANIMALS AND MICROORGANISMS USE NITROGEN IN OUR CELLS, AND WE NEED A LOT OF IT.

AND OF COURSE, WE ARE LITERALLY BATHED IN IT.

THE ATMOSPHERE IS 78% DINITROGEN

SO THERE SHOULD BE PLENTY, RIGHT?

BUT THE PROBLEM IS THAT MOST ORGANISMS

DON'T HAVE ACCESS TO THAT DINITROGEN IN THE ATMOSPHERE.

THEY CAN'T USE IT. THEY CAN'T GET AT IT.

Narrator: WHILE CERTAIN BACTERIA CAN CONVERT THIS NITROGEN INTO FORMS THAT OTHER ORGANISMS CAN USE

FOR MANY CROPS, MORE  
NITROGEN IS STILL REQUIRED.  
AND NITROGEN FERTILIZER  
ALLOWS PLANTS  
TO RECEIVE THE MUCH-NEED  
ED NUTRIENT THAT THEY LACK.  
THE USE OF FERTILIZER  
DRAMATICALLY INCREASED  
DURING THE GREEN REVOLUTION  
WHERE, FROM THE 1940s  
THROUGH THE 1960s  
NEW SEED VARIETIES WERE  
INTRODUCED  
IN MANY DEVELOPING NATIONS,  
HELPING AVOID WIDESPREAD  
FAMINE.

Dr. Naylor: THE GREEN  
REVOLUTION IS JUST ADAPTING  
CROP VARIETIES  
TO HAVE MUCH HIGHER YIELDS  
ON A LIMITED LAND BASE.  
AND THE KEY FACTOR WAS NEW  
SEEDS FOR PLANT TYPES  
AND THESE PLANT TYPES WERE  
DEPENDENT ON FERTILIZER  
INPUTS  
TO GET THOSE HIGHER YIELDS.

Narrator: THE GREEN REVOLUTION  
BEGAN  
IN THE YAQUI VALLEY OF CIMMYT,  
WHICH IS THE SPANISH ACRONYM

FOR THE INTERNATIONAL MAIZE  
AND WHEAT IMPROVEMENT  
CENTER.

DR. IVAN ORTIZ-MONASTERIO IS A  
SENIOR SCIENTIST  
IN THE WHEAT PROGRAM.  
IN CIMMYT'S EXPERIMENTAL  
PLOTS, HE EXAMINES THE  
EFFECTS  
OF A WIDE RANGE OF GROWING  
CONDITIONS  
SUCH AS NITROGEN LEVELS.  
Dr. Ortiz-Monasterio: WHAT YOU  
CAN SEE HERE  
WE HAVE GROWN WITHOUT  
APPLYING ANY NITROGEN  
FERTILIZER.  
IT'S A WHEAT CROP WITH VERY  
SEVERE NITROGEN DEFICIENCY.  
THE OLDER LEAVES, WHICH ARE  
AT THE BOTTOM  
START TURNING YELLOW FIRST  
AND THEN YOU ALSO HAVE A  
PALE-GREEN COLOR  
RATHER THAN AN INTENSE  
GREEN.  
ALSO, THE WHEAT PLANT  
PRODUCED TILLERS, OR SIDE  
STEMS  
AND HERE WE DON'T SEE ANY  
TILLERING.

WE ONLY HAVE ONE STEM.  
AND, OF COURSE, YOU ALSO SEE  
THE STUNTING OF THE PLANT.  
THESE PLANTS SHOULD BE AT  
LEAST TWICE THE HEIGHT.

Narrator: IN THE NEXT PLOT  
75 KILOGRAMS OF NITROGEN PER  
HECTARE WERE APPLIED.  
BUT THE WHEAT IS STILL  
SHOWING SIGNS OF NITROGEN  
DEFICIENCY.

Dr. Ortiz-Monasterio: I THINK THE  
YIELD HERE --  
IT WILL PROBABLY BE ABOUT 2  
TONS.

AND THE BREAK-EVEN POINT FOR  
THE COST OF PRODUCTION --  
IT'S ABOUT 4 1/2 TO 5 TONS.  
SO IT WOULD BE COMPLETE  
ECONOMIC FAILURE.

Narrator: IN THE FINAL PLOT  
250 KILOGRAMS OF NITROGEN  
PER HECTARE WERE APPLIED  
THE AVERAGE AMOUNT USED BY  
A FARMER IN THE YAQUI VALLEY.  
THIS IS USUALLY ENOUGH TO  
GUARANTEE  
A YIELD OF 7 TONS PER HECTARE.

TO ENSURE AGAINST CROP  
FAILURE  
WHEAT FARMERS IN THE YAQUI

VALLEY  
APPLY THESE VERY LARGE  
AMOUNTS OF NITROGEN  
FERTILIZER  
MORE THAN NECESSARY IN A  
TYPICAL YEAR.  
SOME OF THIS IS IN THE FORM OF  
AMMONIA GAS  
WHICH IS BUBBLED INTO THE  
IRRIGATION WATER  
AND REACHES THE FIELDS  
DURING IRRIGATION EVENTS.  
BUT A LARGE AMOUNT -- ABOUT  
75% --  
IS APPLIED IN DRY FORM  
ONE MONTH BEFORE THEY  
ACTUALLY PLANT THE SEEDS.  
Matson: THEY WERE WORRIED  
THAT IF THEY DIDN'T GET IT ON  
EARLY  
THEY MIGHT NOT BE ABLE TO GET  
IT ON LATER  
WHEN IT STARTS RAINING OR IF IT  
STARTS RAINING.  
SO THEY WERE TRYING TO AVOID  
RISK.  
OF COURSE, WHAT THEY DIDN'T  
REALIZE  
IS THAT THEY WERE PROBABLY  
LOSING A LOT OF NITROGEN  
BEFORE THEY EVER EVEN GOT  
THE SEEDS INTO THE GROUND.



Narrator: AND THIS EXCESS  
NITROGEN  
CAN BE DAMAGING TO THE  
ENVIRONMENT.

Matson: ONE OF THE PROBLEMS  
WITH ALL THAT EXTRA NITROGEN  
GOING ONTO LAND AND  
FERTILIZER  
IS THAT IT DOESN'T ALL GET USED  
BY PLANTS.  
IT DOESN'T ALL GET TAKEN UP.  
IN FACT, MAYBE ON AVERAGE 50%  
OF IT  
DOESN'T STAY IN AGRICULTURE  
SYSTEMS  
BUT RATHER GETS TRANSPORTED  
OUT OF THE FIELDS WHERE THEY  
WERE APPLIED  
AND THE NITROGEN GOES OFF TO  
THE ATMOSPHERE  
IN A NUMBER OF DIFFERENT  
FORMS  
INCLUDING NITROUS OXIDE,  
WHICH IS A GREENHOUSE GAS  
NITRIC OXIDE, WHICH IS AN AIR  
POLLUTANT.  
AND SOME OF THE NITROGEN IN  
AGRICULTURE FIELDS  
JUST LEECHES OUT THROUGH  
THE SOILS  
INTO GROUNDWATER SYSTEMS

OR IT RUNS OFF THE SURFACE  
INTO SURFACE-WATER SYSTEMS  
LIKE STREAMS AND RIVERS AND  
LAKES.

AND THERE IT CAUSES A NUMBER  
OF DIFFERENT PROBLEMS.

THE BIG ISSUE FOR US  
IS HOW TO MANAGE NITROGEN,  
THAT NITROGEN FERTILIZER,  
BETTER  
SO THAT WE CAN STILL INCREASE  
PLANT GROWTH  
WE CAN STILL GET HIGH YIELDS  
BUT WE PREVENT THE LOSS OF  
THAT NITROGEN  
INTO THE ATMOSPHERE OR INTO  
THE WATER SYSTEM.

Narrator: IN THE YAQUI VALLEY  
THE RESEARCH TEAM  
CONDUCTED SEVERAL  
EXPERIMENTS  
TO DETERMINE WHERE THE  
EXCESS NITROGEN WAS GOING.  
TO FIND OUT IF IT WAS LEECHING  
INTO THE WATER  
THE RESEARCHERS FOLLOWED  
THE IRRIGATION SYSTEM  
TO WHERE IT DRAINS INTO THE  
GULF OF CALIFORNIA.  
IF THIS WATER CONTAINS EXCESS  
NITROGEN

IT MAY CAUSE LARGE BLOOMS OF  
SINGLE-CELLED PLANTS  
CALLED PHYTOPLANKTON.

THESE BLOOMS CAN CAUSE HARM  
TO THE ECOSYSTEM  
BECAUSE ONCE THE  
PHYTOPLANKTON DIE  
THE DECOMPOSING PROCESS  
LEADS TO VERY LOW LEVELS OF  
OXYGEN IN THE WATER.

Matson: AND THAT KILLS FISH,  
KILLS SHELLFISH  
DRIVES FISH AWAY  
AND CAUSES LOTS OF HARM TO  
THE COASTAL MARINE  
ENVIRONMENTS  
AND ALSO CAUSES A LOT OF  
ECONOMIC LOSSES  
TO THE FISHERPEOPLE WHO USE  
THOSE RESOURCES.

Narrator: RESEARCHERS USED  
SATELLITE IMAGES  
IN COMBINATION WITH WATER  
SAMPLES  
TO DETERMINE IF THE IRRIGATION  
RUNOFF  
FROM THE YAQUI VALLEY  
WAS AFFECTING THE COASTAL  
WATERS IN THE GULF OF  
CALIFORNIA.

Dr. Beman: WE FOUND THESE  
INTENSE BLOOMS  
THAT SEEMED TO COINCIDE WITH  
FERTILIZATION  
AND THE IRRIGATION IN THE  
YAQUI VALLEY.

AS WE GENERATED MORE DATA  
AND WERE ABLE TO COMPARE  
THEM  
WITH WHAT WE KNEW WAS GOING  
ON IN THE AGRICULTURE SYSTEM  
WE REALLY DID FIND THIS TIGHT  
CORRESPONDENCE.

Narrator: MOTIVATED BY THESE  
RESULTS  
THE RESEARCHERS WORKED TO  
FIND WAYS  
TO MAINTAIN MAXIMUM YIELDS  
BUT REDUCE THE  
ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT OF THE  
FERTILIZER RUNOFF.

Matson: IN OUR BEST PRACTICE  
FARMERS WOULD HAVE APPLIED  
LESS NITROGEN  
BUT THEY WOULD HAVE APPLIED  
MORE OF IT AT PLANTING  
NONE OF IT A MONTH BEFORE  
PLANTING.

IT SEEMED LIKE A GREAT IDEA.  
IT WORKED IN MOST FARMERS'  
FIELDS.

IT WORKED IN THE EXPERIMENT

STATION.  
AND WE TALKED WITH FARMERS  
ABOUT IT.  
BUT THEY DIDN'T ADOPT IT.  
[ SPEAKING SPANISH ]

[ SPEAKING SPANISH ]  
Narrator: WHEN THE RESEARCH  
TEAM  
TALKED WITH FARM OWNERS LIKE  
SERGIO  
THEY FOUND THAT A  
ONE-SIZE-FITS-ALL SOLUTION  
WAS NOT APPROPRIATE IN THE  
YAQUI VALLEY  
DUE TO THE WIDE VARIABILITY OF  
CLIMATE AND SOILS.  
BUT THERE WAS ANOTHER  
OBSTACLE TO GAINING THE  
FARMERS' SUPPORT.  
Matson: THE OTHER THING THAT  
WE DISCOVERED IN OUR  
RESEARCH  
IS THAT ONE OF THE REASONS  
THEY WEREN'T TRYING THE NEW  
PRACTICE  
IS THAT THE CREDIT UNIONS  
,THEIR CREDIT UNIONS  
WERE TELLING THEM NOT TO.  
THE CREDIT UNIONS CAN  
RECOMMEND  
WHAT KIND OF PRODUCTION

PRACTICES SHOULD OCCUR  
SO THAT THE CHANCES IN  
SUCCESS AT BEING PAID BACK  
ARE THE HIGHEST.  
AND SO ALTHOUGH WE HAVE  
BEEN RECOMMENDING  
REDUCTIONS IN FERTILIZER USE  
THE CREDIT UNIONS ARE OFTEN  
RECOMMENDING  
INCREASED FERTILIZER USE.  
Narrator: THE RESEARCH TEAM  
BEGAN SEARCHING FOR AN  
APPROACH  
THAT COULD BE ADAPTED FOR  
EACH FARMER.  
ORTIZ-MONASTERIO  
COLLABORATED  
WITH DR. BILL RAUN AT  
OKLAHOMA STATE UNIVERSITY  
WHO HAD BEEN DEVELOPING A  
HANDHELD RADIOMETER  
CALLED A GREENSEEKER.  
THIS DEVICE CAN ASSESS  
NUTRIENT NEEDS IN REAL TIME  
AND HELP FARMERS OPTIMIZE  
FUTURE NITROGEN  
APPLICATIONS.  
THE INSTRUMENT CALCULATES  
TOTAL AVERAGE BIOMASS  
AND THE AMOUNT OF  
CHLOROPHYLL IN THE LEAVES  
DATA LINKED TO THE OVERALL

HEALTH OF THE PLANTS.  
ARMED WITH THESE REAL-TIME  
MEASUREMENTS  
ORTIZ-MONASTERIO HELPED  
DEVELOP  
A MANAGEMENT STRATEGY FOR  
NITROGEN ENRICHMENT.  
ON EACH PLOT, THE FIRST STEP  
IS TO ESTABLISH A  
NITROGEN-RICH STRIP  
A FEW HECTARES THAT HAVE  
ENOUGH EXTRA NITROGEN  
APPLIED  
TO GUARANTEE MAXIMUM CROP  
YIELDS.  
THE NITROGEN-RICH STRIP HAS  
TO REPRESENT  
A LEVEL OF NITROGEN THAT  
DOESN'T HAVE ANY NITROGEN  
DEFICIENCY.  
THAT'S GOING TO BE OUR  
REFERENCE.  
ONCE WE HAVE THE NITROGEN  
STRIP WELL ESTABLISHED  
AROUND 45 DAYS AFTER  
PLANTING, WE COME ALONG WITH  
THE SENSOR  
AND WE TAKE THE READINGS IN  
THE N-RICH STRIP  
AND THEN WE TAKE THE  
READINGS IN THE REST OF THE  
PLOT.

Narrator: THE READINGS ARE  
CALLED IN TO A RESEARCHER  
WHO CALCULATES HOW MUCH  
ADDITIONAL NITROGEN  
FERTILIZER  
THE FARMER MUST APPLY  
SO THIS PARTICULAR FIELD CAN  
OBTAIN THE SAME YIELD  
AS THE N-RICH CONTROL STRIP.

MUCH TO THE SURPRISE OF SOME  
OF THE FARMERS  
THE CALCULATIONS OFTEN SHOW  
THAT THE PLOT REQUIRES LITTLE  
OR NO ADDITIONAL NITROGEN  
IN THIS SECOND APPLICATION TO  
ACHIEVE MAXIMUM YIELDS.  
THESE TECHNIQUES WILL NOT  
ONLY REDUCE  
THE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT OF  
EXCESS FERTILIZER  
BUT WILL ALSO SAVE THE  
FARMERS MONEY  
A FACT THAT WAS VERY  
APPEALING TO THE CREDIT  
UNIONS.

Matson: ULTIMATELY, WHEN THEY  
SAW  
THAT THE  
HANDHELD-RADIOMETER  
APPROACH WORKS  
AND THAT COULD SAVE THEIR



FARMERS A LOT OF FERTILIZER  
AND THUS SAVE THEM A LOT OF  
MONEY

THEY DECIDED TO INVEST IN  
THEM FOR THEIR MEMBERS.

SO THE CREDIT UNIONS  
THEMSELVES BOUGHT THE  
HANDHELD RADIOMETERS  
FOR ALL OF THEIR MEMBERS TO  
USE.

AND THEY BOUGHT IT BECAUSE IT  
WAS A MONEY-SAVING DEVICE.

Narrator: WHILE NOT ALL WHEAT  
FARMERS IN THE YAQUI VALLEY  
HAVE ADOPTED THIS PRACTICE  
THE RESEARCHERS ARE MAKING  
PROGRESS

IN THIS PIVOTAL YEAR.

Dr. Ortiz-Monasterio: LAST YEAR WE  
HAD ONLY SEVEN FIELDS.

THIS YEAR WE JUMPED TO 174.

SO IN MY OPINION, THIS YEAR IS  
CRUCIAL.

IF WE ARE SUCCESSFUL  
I THINK THIS IS GOING TO  
EXPLODE AND BE WIDELY  
ADOPTED.

AND I THINK THIS IS A  
WONDERFUL EXAMPLE  
HOW A TECHNOLOGY LIKE THIS  
CAN RESULT IN A WIN-WIN  
SITUATION

WHERE THE FARMER BENEFITS  
AND AT THE SAME TIME THE  
ENVIRONMENT BENEFITS.

FUNDING FOR THIS PROGRAM IS  
PROVIDED BY ANNENBERG MEDIA.

FOR INFORMATION ABOUT THIS  
AND OTHER ANNENBERG MEDIA  
PROGRAMS, CALL...

AND VISIT US AT...