Comparing Student Persuasive Pieces: What Students Thought About the Soccer Pieces

Editor's note: These responses are reproduced exactly as the students wrote them, including mechanical and grammatical errors.

Student Response 1

I think that the persuasive essay titled <u>Getting lined soccer field with goals</u> was more effective than the one called Soccer field.

The first essay began with a description. The 2nd essay started with diolauge. I think that diolauge is more effective because it seems more realistic. You seem to hear specifically what happens, what kids say and how they react. The other essay just says that it stalls the game for a while. The 2nd entry specifically says that it stalls the game, kids quit, and that it makes them argue a lot.

Then, the first essay lists what it wants to happen to the soccer field. The 2nd essay says 1 thing to fix, then says why, how, and, in some cases, when. Then it goes on to another thing. With the first essay we read the list and feel overwhelmed at all the stuff to fix. With the 2nd one we didn't feel that way.

Then, when the 1st essay explained the solutions to the problems it did so in a very genral way. For instance, for the solution to the money problem it said: fundraisers. It didn't say what it would be or how it would help. The 2nd essay said that the fundraiser might be a play or a sale and what the cost might be.

Then, at the end the 1st essay says to contact Dartmouth soccer for answers to questions I was wondering why Dartmouth soccer would be involved anyway! It's also kind of like saying: "Go away, I'm done explaining! Go talk to them if you have questions!" The 2nd essay doen't just cut you of like that.

Then, the last sentence in the 1st essay kids will have more fun at recess. It doesn't say why or how they would have more fun. In the 2nd essay they said that kids would have more fun because of blah blah, not just kids will have more fun.

Student Response 2

I think that the "Getting Lined Soccer Field with Goals" story is much more persuasive than the other story. I think it is more persuasive than the "Soccer Field" story because it just basically gives the reader a list and that is less convincing than sort of blending in what you want changed and that is how the other piece is like. With a list the things that you want changed stand out and makes it look like an bigger list and the other one doesn't do that.

The first piece only gives one good reason to do what that person asked and the second one have more reasons and has different situations why the kids don't like the soccer field the way it is. The first piece only has one situation which makes you wonder why we need all of these other things on that list. The second piece also shows what it would like if the changes were made, the second piece doesn't show what the future would be like and it has all of it's problems at the end of the piece and they're all in one place, not spread out which makes it seem like there are a lot of problems.